

**EIGHTH MEETING OF THE 2017/2018 BOARD OF DIRECTORS
TRENT CENTRAL STUDENT ASSOCIATION
The Active Learning Space, Trent Student Centre
Sunday, February 11th, 2018
1:00pm**

MINUTES

1. Call to Order

TIME: 1:03pm

2. Roll Call

Present:

President Brandon Rummelgas
Vice President University & College Affairs Lea Rogers-Balgorin
Vice President Campaigns and Equity Shanese Steele
Vice President Clubs & External Molly Hu
Ethical Standards Commissioner Lindsay Yates
First Year Off-Residence Commissioner Jamie Djetvay – Bradshaw
Women’s Issues Commissioner Julianne Liken
International Student’s Commissioner Alisher Turubayev
CC President Rebeka O'Regan (with proxy)
CC Jr. Senator Caleb Button (with proxy & designation from CC Sr. Senator Brendan Bell-Earle)
GC President Emily Cauduro
GC Sr. Senator Owen Faulkner-Nolan
GC Commissioner Ryan Sova
LEC President Ann-Majella McKelvie (with proxy)
OC President Craig Rutherford
OC Commissioner Chhavi Chawla
TC Commissioner Abby Rodriguez
TC Senior Senator Annette Pedlar

Regrets:

CC Commissioner Cydney Habraken
CC Sr. Senator Brendan Bell-Earle
First Year On-Residence Commissioner Brianna Campbell
LEC Commission Aakriti Pandey

Absent:

Gender Issues Commissioner Charleigh Chomko
Indigenous Students Commissioner Rhode Thomas
LEC Sr. Senator Eric O'Brien
OC Sr. Senator Anne Martin

3. Land Acknowledgement

Board Resource Manager Matthew Seaby reads aloud *“The Trent Central Student Association wishes to acknowledge the Anishnaabe and Mississauga peoples and their traditional territory, in which this meeting is taking place”*, as an expression of gratitude.

4. Chairs Remarks

Chair Seaby welcomes the directors and members of the public. He says that those who disrupt the meeting will be asked to leave. There is a speaker list. One can be added to the list by raising one's hand. He will prioritize first-time speakers and uphold a gender parity of speakers. He reminds all the rules of decorum and collegial behavior. All meetings are recorded. The minutes will be sent to all those in attendance.

5. Approval of the Agenda

MOTION: Be it resolved that the 2018.02.11 Agenda be adopted as presented.

Moved by: Faulkner-Nolan; Seconded by: Sova

MOTION to AMEND: Be it resolved that agenda be adopted with an amendment for item 12 to be moved to item 7, and that a 90-minute cap be put on this agenda item.

Moved by: Bell-Earle; Seconded by: Hu

International Students Commissioner Alisher Turubayev wishes to remove the 90-minute cap on this agenda item to ensure that a full discussion about the motion can occur, and so that all voices are heard.

MOTION to AMEND the AMENDMENT: Be it resolved that the 90-minute cap on agenda item 12, which is being motioned to become agenda item 7, be removed from the amendment.

Moved by: Turubayev; Seconded by: Annette Pedlar

Carried

MOTION to AMEND: Be it resolved that agenda be adopted with an amendment for item 12 to be moved to item 7.

Moved by: Rummelgas; Seconded by: Hu

Carried

Carried

6. Approval of Minutes

MOTION: Be it resolved that the minutes from the 2018.01.28 meeting be adopted with necessary corrections.

Moved by: Faulkner-Nolan; Seconded by: Sova

Carried

7. Levy Policy Committee Referendum

MOTION: Be it resolved that the Board support the following question being included on the ballot for the 2018 Spring Elections “Do you support the creation of a TCSA Levy Policy Special Committee in accordance with the outline below that will create a comprehensive levy policy to be approved by students through referenda in Spring 2019, and that no new levies be formed until the committee approves this policy?”

Moved by: Rummelgas; Seconded by: Turubayev

MOTION: Be it resolved that the previous motion be approved as amended in this meeting.

Moved by: Rummelgas; Seconded by: Faulkner-Nolan

Carried

President Rummelgas says that he will go through document to highlight the concerns brought forward to the Executive about it. The first issue is that this motion proposes no new levy groups are created until a levy policy is agreed upon. The proposal does not apply to these upcoming spring elections. Students will still be free to pose a referendum question to create a new group during the spring elections of this year. Rummelgas clarifies that the document before the board will apply to the TCSA as well if it is approved. It states that the Vice-President of Clubs and External affairs will chair the proposed committee. It is in the position’s mandate, and people occupying this position have a good understanding of the levy system.

Ethical Standards Commissioner Lindsay Yates proposed that the VP Campaigns & Equity be present in a voting capacity.

President Rimmelgas states that he is for this change, and will edit the document. Rimmelgas goes on to say that the cabinets were each given one vote because they would otherwise not be well represented. He also states that the TCSA will need to verify the levy-paying students that sit on the committee. Rimmelgas says it is proposed that five students will sit on the committee because it can be difficult to meet quorum when the committee consists of too many members. If the committee does not meet, the TCSA reserves the right to put forward a referendum question in the Spring 2019 elections. The document mandates that the TCSA must consult groups before a referendum is put forward, even though it would be within the TCSA's power to put forward a referendum without consultation. This policy did not previously exist. The committee will only be able to put forward a referendum question or motion if the committee cannot meet. He will send the document to everyone after the meeting. How it appears on the ballot will be up to the discretion of the Board.

Trail College Senior Senator Annette Pedlar says this motion violates By-Law 4.6.2 (pg. 8), and By-Law 14.2.2 (pg. 23). Therefore, it cannot be considered. Pedlar reads aloud the by-laws.

Chair Seaby clarifies that the question being considered is only meant to strike a committee to discuss a topic, and therefore doesn't violate the by-laws mentioned. The By-law that Sr. Senator Pedlar is highlighting prevents the Association from instigating referenda pertaining to the Unassociated Fees Section of our by-laws.

President Rimmelgas states the Association can still create the committee. The TCSA has the power to change how levies are administered and create policies as to how refunds are picked-up.

Sr. Senator Pedlar asks if the committee can't meet or if there is inequity within the system, then what can the committee do about the issues?

President Rimmelgas states that the committee would send a document to the Board with recommendations to address current issues levies are facing, which the board could approve. All referenda questions are submitted to the Board, and then the Board submits the question to be put on the ballot. The TCSA could turn down a proposed referenda question in some circumstances, but that would likely not be in the interest of students. If any student goes and gets 800 signatures [estimated number], the decision comes to the board.

Sr. Senator Pedlar isn't clear on everything stated by President Rimmelgas.

Chair Seaby clarifies that the motion on the table is meant to strike a committee to discuss the topic. He can be challenged on this point, but states that this conforms to the by-laws.

Megan Johnny, representing Peterborough Student Co-op, reads aloud a statement. She would like to discuss the role of the levy council. The levy council managed to pass a referendum question through cooperation and support. The following meeting brought-forward representation from multiple groups and five TCSA representatives. This means that the number of student representatives can be raised. Megan references a meeting that occurred on November 7. At the first meeting of refundable levy groups, they discussed that streamlining the refund policy could rapidly refund groups. The levy groups have met multiple times since the Fall elections and have had plenty of productive meetings to discuss reforming the levy system. She says the levy groups are very supportive and cooperative, including about issues of transparency.

Zoe Easton, representing Trent Oxfam, explains her background. She came to Trent because of the community. She says Trent has a history of social involvement and activism, which led her to transferring from another school. Levy Groups meet to build skills, have productive discussions, and the like. Some groups need more support than others. Levy groups have never opposed concerns about accountability and transparency, and the way these concerns were expressed were vague. The language of the document the TCSA is presenting used alarmist language, and advocated penalization of groups. It singles out groups that need more support than others. She says the levy groups were told that if they worked together to improve the levy system, then they could avoid this kind of outcome. This has the potential effect of penalizing groups that represent and are run by marginalized students. Many are paid via the TWSP and receive important training from these groups. She says it is more important to cooperate and act collectively, rather than to penalize. This document does not follow these principles. She says that solidarity is an inter-sectional issue and encourages other levy groups to vote against this motion.

Shannon Culkeen, a TCSA member, introduces herself. She would like to thank the executive for their care and attention. She says the motion doesn't need amendment; it needs to be totally rejected. She is disappointed that complaints were not passed on to unassociated groups. She wants to make note that a great deal of work has been done, so the motion is not necessary. She says the TCSA should take its place as one organization among equals. She does not want division in the student body.

Joshua Skinner, representing the Arthur Newspaper, says he is bringing forward several concerns. He is concerned with the '1-million-dollar price-tag' language.

He says this obfuscates the role of levy groups on campus. He says it will turn students against the levy groups. He lists the amount of services levy groups provide. He says these services make Trent an exceptional school. Levy groups also make a bridge between students and the community. He lists the ways in which levy groups do this. He also wants to talk about the leadership positions that levy groups provide to students. They provide valuable training, working, and volunteering experiences. He mentions that although the TCSA collects much more in levy funds than other groups, it is still a levy group. He says that all want financial accountability, and that everyone should cooperate with each other.

Danielle Britton, representing the Ceilie, says the motion implies that a committee is necessary. It is not necessary because the groups have already been meeting. These issues became topics of discussion at a November 2nd meeting of levies. These meetings led to the eventual creation of a one-year pilot program regarding levy-refunds. Access to refunds and processes varied among groups. There are other issues regarding access to resources. The proposal was shared with all groups and with the TCSA. On November 7, the refundable levy group committee discussed refunds, the value and risk of making a unified refund process and comparing refund processes of groups. They also compared the number of requests received and the number of refunds issued. They also discussed the possibility and risk of defunding through a unified refunding system. They also asked that the levy group information page on the TCSA website be updated to address concerns raised. They are unclear as to how many students complained about levy accountability, and in what period. This information would be valuable to levy groups but has not been shared. A student approached the TCSA saying that they were frustrated with the system, and that they were considering putting forward a referendum about imposing a new system on levy groups. She believes that the student was unaware of the proposals for mutual support amongst levy groups. On December 7, all groups met to discuss developments. The conclusion of the meeting was that the refundable levy subgroup would meet. They did, and in late January they circulated the refundable levy mandate. It had a template refund-request form, policies, a time frame for picking-up refunds, and a proposal to use the TCSA office as a central location for students to pick up refunds. All these proposals were brought forward to the TCSA. The document suggests that the TCSA is not aware of the steps taken to address concerns, and the levy groups were misled. They believed that no system would be imposed on groups if they were to meet to discuss reforming the levy system and improving accountability. The groups have done this and are now upset that this motion has been imposed on them with little to no consultation.

Gzowski College Senior Senator Owen Faulkner-Nolan leaves at 2:00pm.

Chair Seaby says that he cannot address the concerns raised by levy group members or about his attendance at levy council meetings, as he must remain impartial because he is chairing the meeting.

Danielle Britton says that the levy council's proposal suggests a voluntary opt-in pilot program for refunds, along with other efforts. Britton says that these efforts were known and supported by the TCSA.

Caitlin Jones has been at Trent for 10-years. She has been involved with many levy groups, and she is familiar with these complaints. Similar complaints have been raised against College Cabinets in the past. Therefore, she thinks it is strange that the TCSA, a levy group, is putting forward this motion. She is wondering where the TCSA's transparency and accountability lies. She and Sako Khederlarian once disrupted their levy refunds through the Arthur. She says there is a way to increase accountability and cooperation without this proposal.

President Rimmelgas says that students have come to the TCSA saying that if the TCSA doesn't act, that they would take actions themselves. He says that he too is familiar with levy groups. He became frustrated when, at a meeting, Rimmelgas stated that a student complained about levy groups. He says that a representative of a levy then labeled the student as a "complainer".

Zoe Easton states that this statement was taken out of context.

President Rimmelgas says that the other levy groups outnumber the TCSA in votes on the committee that is being suggested. He says the work of the levy council will be included in the future efforts. Also, the preamble won't be on the ballot. There is going to be a referendum because students want to see that progress is being made. The motion only wants to strike a committee that will discuss the levy system. He wants to create a space at the TCSA for levy groups. He says that the TCSA has always been pro-levy, by providing benefits to levy staff, for example. This question doesn't have power to change levies. He says that he has an obligation to heed to student complaints about this topic. Additionally, he says that this proposal doesn't have the power to change levies. It is only meant to strike a committee to discuss the topic. He goes on to say that there is an issue with accessing refunds. Students are deterred from requesting or picking up refunds when they must go directly to the group. This is important because financial barriers are one of the most important issues or barriers for students. He also says that with the TCSA, all spending is recorded and shared. This is not the case for levy groups.

International Students Commissioner Turubayev asks a clarifying question about when this referendum would take place.

Rommelgas replies that it would take place in Spring 2019.

Turubayev says the groups do amazing work. He wants to say that as an international student, it is hard to manage expenses. He says that having this refund policy is very helpful for students that want to access extra funds. He says the council has done a great job, but he does not feel that there is enough accountability. This proposal means that there will be an official committee, and that it will have accountability. For him, this means much more because it feels like he is represented. He says that having this kind of policy, which ensures that students get refunds, is great. He says that transparency is great, and that levy groups have made great efforts to be transparent. However, he says this accountability should be formalized. He is interested in the one-year pilot project. He does not feel that the work on the levy council should not be discarded. However, he feels that formal representation is also necessary for lasting impact.

Alyssa Paxton, representing P.R Community and Students Association, introduces herself. She wanted to speak today because she believes that the proposal is redundant. She says it is wrong that levy groups don't have means of recording finances. 5 of the groups are registered charities. Sadlier House also has charitable status. Paxton goes on to explain the history of Sadleir House. She explains how changes made to the organization need to happen at an AGM and recognized legally. The organization must also be accountable to the Canada Revenue Agency. She describes the other ways that that Sadleir House is accountable to its members and the government, and its formal mechanisms for demonstrating accountability. She says electing students to a not-for-profit allows students to gain valuable professional experience. She says that this is the model that most levy groups follow. She says that they receive fantastic response from other groups. She is asking directors to vote against the motion. She hopes that the remarks of the levy group members will sway them to vote against the motion.

Jill Staveley, representing Trent Radio, says that she is a former Trent student. She says that the levy council has been working together to answer the questions surround levies. She says that the groups are working towards creating a formal council, and that this motion does not respect the work that the groups have been doing to formalize the levy council. She feels the TCSA should be working with the groups, instead of bypassing them. She says that the groups recognize that the groups need support, and that this question does not represent an appropriate way to address issues surrounding levy groups. She says that the TCSA should be their advocate and should help levy groups implement measures of accountability (e.g. AGMs). Levy groups are supposed to have these measures. When they are not present, then groups should work cooperatively to implement them.

Ethical Standard Commissioner Yates says that she doesn't believe that this is the right time for this. She says that there are plenty of ways to help students financially. The TCSA could subsidize the fees of students who must opt-out due to financial constraints. Yates says that all can unite to reduce costs for students. She also proposes that efforts be made to teach students about how levy groups function. She does not think it's fair to put the groups in this position, as they are working hard to become more accountable, or to help other groups become more accountable. She says a TCSA member should attend levy council meetings.

Vice-President Clubs & External Affairs Molly Hu thanks everyone for attending. She wants to apologize for not forwarding the names of groups that complained about the system. She says it was not her place to withhold that information. She would like to comment on the motion. She disagrees with the creation of new levy groups if this committee is going to be struck. It is irresponsible to create new groups before reforms are made and issues are resolved.

Mauricio Interiano, representing Trent Radio says that students should know where their money goes. He says that domestic and international students don't pay the same fees. He values the work that they do, but he believes that students need to know where their money goes. There are issues regarding the accessibility of information. However, he says that the TCSA should not move forward with this motion yet. There should be more deliberation and communication between the TCSA and other levy groups. He says that in the end, most of those present are students, who use these services.

MOTION: Be it resolved that the motion on the table be called to vote.

Moved by: Rogers-Balgobin; Seconded by: Turubayev

Carried

Seaby asks if amendments have been made to the document. Remmelgas responds that the board would be voting on the document as it is. Pedlar says that Remmelgas made edits, and that everyone present has not been able to discuss the document. Seaby says the motion to call the question was out of order.

MOTION: Be it resolved that the 'call to question' motion be overturned because it was out of order.

Moved by: Hu; Seconded by: Pedlar

Carried

Chair Seaby states the motion call to question is overturned.

Champlain College Cabinet President Rebeka O'Regan says that the purpose of this motion is to create more discussion. She appreciates all the efforts that groups have made to make the groups accountable. However, these deliberations should be formalized in the form of a committee. This is not an attempt to undermine groups; it is meant to create a formal space for discussion between levy groups and students.

Trails College Sr. Senator Pedlar has questions about the clarity of the motion regarding the part that states that levy groups can't be created after the Spring 2018 elections.

Chair Seaby says that levy groups can be created during the Spring 2018 elections. Afterwards, no petitions to create new groups will be accepted until a levy policy is enacted. The motion states the levy groups can be formed for next year via the upcoming elections.

Danielle Britton asks if the policy needs to be ratified by vote, or if it would need another year.

Chair Seaby says that the question must be voted upon by referenda.

President Rempelgas states that if a committee approves a policy, then new groups will be able to be created in the election that the policy will be approved by referenda. This policy would become part of the TCSA's referendum process. Levies are approved by student referendum, which means that students can impose conditions on the funding. If a policy were passed via referendum, then conditions could be put upon group's ability to pick up levy checks. It would become part of TCSA referendum by-law, and then all existing levies and those to be created would have to follow that by-law. Trent will only respect a referendum that the TCSA administers.

Paxton asks for clarification if that the purpose of the committee is to create a levy policy that would be going to referenda, and if this would be a policy of the TCSA? Is the referenda question is about the creation, amount or elimination of fees. What would happen if members at an AGM of a levy group rejected the policy and asks if the policy would need to go forward to all AGMs held by all groups?

Chair Seaby states that this committee would constitute a levy policy. If a referendum is successful with such a policy that would be binding, it would impact every levy group. A referendum would necessitate that a change to policies surrounding levy funds occur.

Turubayev requests that the Chair return to the speakers list.

Paxton asks if the Board of Directors has seen legal counsel before they vote?

Rommelgas, speaking to the organization's rules, says that if legal counsel said that this isn't something the TCSA has the power to do, and even if the board voted for the motion, then the TCSA would not move forward. However, the proposed referendum question falls within the scope of the by-laws of the Association. The TCSA has also consulted with Trent Finance and Trent administrators. They believe that it is correct. The Association will be seeking further legal advice. If the legal counsel does not agree, then they will remove this from consideration.

Meghan Johnny, on behalf of the Housing Cooperative, wants to speak as to why this policy to strike a committee is flawed. She says that nowhere in the mission of the proposal does the TCSA say that they want to support levy groups by providing management support. She says it reads as a threat, as it appears it may give students the power to defund levies. The quorum and phrasing of the preamble are problematic. She disagrees with Rommelgas when he said that the TCSA has always been pro-levy. She says that if the TCSA is not sympathetic, then students will lose access to services. She also says that even though representatives of other levy groups disagreed with how the levy system works at Trent, levy groups at other schools have lost support when the refund system was streamlined.

Josh Skinner, representing the Arthur, he perceives they feel they need to act or intervene. He says that the levy groups are already doing taking measures to change the levy system. Sometimes, no policy is the best policy. Ultimately, he says the groups want to work together. He calls for directors to vote against the motion.

Zoe Easton states that she does not mean to appear aggressive. She feels a responsibility to support students that use these services. She feels that the TCSA's analyses of the issues are biased. She has not seen much backlash against levy groups. She says it is not the prerogative of the TCSA to manage issues pertaining to levy groups. She says that they feel that the document is flawed enough that it does not reflect the interests and needs of levy groups. She is not against the timeline or forming a policy. They want a say in what policy is formed. She has never received formal complaints about not receiving a refund. She says the document is not pro-levy. She finds it insulting. She says levy fees are not creating financial issues for students – tuition hikes are. She proposes a long-time, collaborative process.

Rommelgas wants to clarify that the current issues with the levy system are not just about refunds. He references Trent Gives: Money was collected from

students, but the checks have not been picked-up because the group is inactive now. Nothing can be done with the money because the group is no longer functional, and now two years must pass before the fee can be removed. Money contributed by current students is being collected for no reason. Due to this, in two years' time, a motion will be put forward to defund the group per the TCSA's by-law that allows the TCSA to defund *inactive groups*. This is one few examples that students have to control the money they contribute to levies.

Shannon Culkeen asks if the by-law amendment was decided upon by referendum.

Rommelgas states this was a by-law amendment that was passed at a SAGM. He says that it could have gone to referendum, but it is not unlawful to change by-laws at a Semi-Annual General Meeting.

OC President Craig Rutherford and LEC Commissioner Aakriti Pandey leave at 2:50pm.

Rommelgas says that if a levy group is not using levy money in a way that respects the original intent of the referenda there is nothing Trent students can do. He agrees that the levy groups should be the place from which the groups are held accountable. This referendum question would formalize a committee to achieve this goal. Rommelgas says that he recognizes that tuition is a much larger financial barrier than levy fees but states that groups cannot hold Trent accountable if they do not hold themselves accountable. This issue has been discussed for too long, and that now is the time to make a change. This is something the TCSA could have put forward without referenda (to create a committee to develop a referenda question and policy). He did feel that option would be inequitable. Due to the large number of concerns brought forward he proposes tabling this discussion to a future meeting of the board so that more discussion can occur.

MOTION: Be is resolved that this motion be tabled to the next meeting of the board.

Moved by: Rommelgas; Seconded by: Sova

Zoe Easton requests for information about the students that have complaints about levy refunds.

Pedlar says that she's speaking in favour of postponing. She is worried that the TCSA is going to face litigation. She states that the TCSA should pursue proper legal counsel, and that discussions should continue so that a resolution can be reached.

Noah Korne, representing Trent Outdoors Club, wants to be assured that his group can become a levy group via referendum in the upcoming election.

Seaby says that he cannot give assurances as to what will be passed, but that the question can appear on the ballot.

Rommelgas says that any referenda question cannot have effect in the same election it is being put forward in. TCSA will likely not motion to put down a petition brought to the board.

Carried

Britton wants to suggest that the motion presented be met with some conditions. One is that more than one person will attend the levy council meeting on Tuesday, February 13. She would also like the TCSA to consult with the Trent administration about a formal response. Lastly, an organized effort to reach out to levy groups that are not present should be made. She would like the motion to include these three points.

MOTION: Be it resolved that this discussion, tabled for a future meeting of the board, be taken up again after Trent administration be consulted, that levy groups not present attempt to be consulted, and that more than one TCSA member attend the next meeting of the levy council.

Moved by: Yates; Seconded by: Hu

Pedlar wants to ensure that two elected people attend the meeting.

Rommelgas says that they will try to have two elected people at the meeting with groups.

Carried

MOTION: Be it resolved that the 2018.02.11 meeting move into recess for 15 minutes.

Moved by: Rommelgas; Seconded by: Sova

Carried

The meeting moves into recess at 3:10pm.

Seaby calls the meeting back into order at 3:25pm.

8. President's Report

MOTION: Be it resolved that the 2018.02.11 President's Report be approved.

Moved by: Rimmelgas; Seconded by: Sova

Rimmelgas proposes that the board to amend this motion to approve all executive reports with the next meeting's reports on March 4 because not all directors have been able to reads the reports.

MOTION to AMEND: Be it resolved that the motion on the table be amended to motion that the executive reports of this meeting be approved within the next meeting's executive reports.

Moved by: Rimmelgas; Seconded by: Sova

Carried

[**MOTION:** Be it resolved that this meeting executive reports be approved within the next board meeting executive reports].

Moved by: Rimmelgas; Seconded by: Sova

Carried

9. Scholar's at Risk

Trent Student Jeffery Temple wants to support an international movement that seeks to protect academics from abroad that are under the threat of persecution. "Scholars at Risk" allows academics to apply anonymously, requesting that they be given employment in a country in which they will not be persecuted. He has been deliberating with Trent President Leo Georke about creating a permanent position at Trent for an at-risk scholar. He would like to ask that the TCSA publicly support this initiative, started by the Student Association for International Development.

MOTION: Be it resolved that the Trent Central Student Association take one thousand from the clubs and groups funding to support the initiative.

Moved by: Hu; Seconded by: Rogers-Balgobin

Hu wants motion this because there is a surplus in her budget, and she likes that this is a student-led initiative.

GC President Cauduro asks if there will be any other events for this initiative approaching?

Temple replies that there will be an upcoming event, and that he will canvas for funding.

Cauduro says that he should call on the cabinets for support.

Pedlar suggests to Temple that he speak to what he would like to cabinets to do for the initiative.

Temple replies that they would like to see funding and public-support. TUFA is matching Finance to support this.

Cauduro asks how much Temple will ask for.

Temple replies that is to be determined after discussions with Trent and TUFA.

Pedlar asks if the TCSA wants the scholar's at risk letter to be a stand-alone document.

Hu and Rogers say that the message would be stronger if the colleges signed-on to the letter individually.

Rommelgas says that the TCSA and cabinets could sign on to an open-letter.

Temple says that it would be more effective if the TCSA wrote its own letter.

MOTION: Be it resolved that the motion on the table be amended to include that say that the TCSA will write a letter to Trent to support the initiative, in addition to supplying the initiative with one-thousand dollars.

Moved by: Remmelgas; Seconded by: Sova

Carried

MOTION: Be it resolved that the Trent Central Student Association take \$1000.00 from clubs and groups funding to support the Scholars at Risk initiative, and be it further resolved that the TCSA will write a letter to Trent to support the initiative.

Carried

Chair Seaby States that the meeting is nearly at its 3-hour limit, and that he will entertain a motion to adjourn. Remaining agenda items can be taken up at the next meeting as necessary.

MOTION: Be it resolved that the 2018.02.11 Board of Directors Meeting be adjourned.

Moved by: Hu; Seconded by: McKelvie

Carried

Meeting adjourned at 3:43pm.

Minutes respectfully submitted,

Alexander Salton
2017-2018 Board Secretary